**FEB - FRESENIUS ENVIRONMENTAL BULLETIN**
Founded jointly by F. Korte and F. Coulston
Production by PSP - Vimy Str. 1e, 85354 Freising, Germany in
cooperation with PRT-Parlar Research & Technology
Vimy Str 1e, 85354 Freising
Copyright© by PSP and PRT, Vimy Str. 1e, 85354 Freising, Germany
All rights are reserved, especially the right to translate into foreign language or other processes - or convert to a machine language, especially for data processing equipment - without written permission of the publisher. The rights of reproduction by lecture, radio and television transmission, magnetic sound recording or similar means are also reserved.
**Printed in Germany-ISSN 1018-4619**

**FEB-EDITORIAL BOARD**

**CHIEF EDITOR:**
**Prof. Dr. Dr. H. Parlar**
Parlar Research & Technology-PRT
Vimy Str.1e
85354 Freising, Germany

**MANAGING EDITOR:**
**Dr. P. Parlar**
Parlar Research & Technology
PRT, Vimy Str.1e
85354 Freising, Germany

**CO-EDITORS:**

***Environmental Spectroscopy***
**Prof. Dr. A. Piccolo**
Universita di Napoli "Frederico II"
Dipto. Di Scienze Chemica Agrarie
Via Universita 100, 80055 Portici, Italy

***Environmental Biology***
**Prof. Dr. G. Schuurmann**
UFZ-Umweltzentrum
Sektion Chemische Ökotoxikologie
Leipzig-Halle GmbH,
Permoserstr.15, 04318
04318 Leipzig, Germany
**Prof. Dr. I. Holoubek**
Recetox-Tocoen
Kamenice126/3, 62500 Brno, Czech Republic
**Prof. Dr. M. Hakki Alma**
Igdir Universitesi
76000, Igdir, Turkey

***Environmental Analytical Chemistry***
**Prof. Dr. M. Bahadir**
Lehrstuhl für Ökologische Chemie
und Umweltanalytik
TU Braunschweig
Lehrstuhl für Ökologische Chemie
Hagenring 30, 38106 Braunschweig, Germany
**Dr. D. Kotzias**
Via Germania29
21027 Barza(Va), Italy

***Environmental Management***
**Dr. K. I. Nikolaou**
Env.Protection of Thessaloniki
OMPEPT-54636 Thessaloniki
Greece

***Environmental Toxicology***
**Prof. Dr. H. Greim**
Senatkommision – DFG / TUM
85350 Freising, Germany

***Environmental Proteomic***
**Dr. A. Fanous**
Halal Control GmbH
Kobaltstr. 2-4
D-65428 Rüsselsheim, Germany

***Environmental Education***
**Prof. Dr. C. Bayat**
Esenyurt Üniversitesi
34510 Esenyurt, Istanbul, Turkey

***Environmental Medicine***
**Prof. Dr. I. Tumen**
Bandirma 17 Eylül Üniversitesi
10200 Bandirma, Turkey

***Advisory Board***
**K. Bester, K. Fischer, R. Kallenborn**
**DCG. Muir, R. Niessner,W.Vetter,**
**A. Reichlmayr-Lais, D. Steinberg,**
**J. P. Lay, J. Burhenne, L. O. Ruzo**

***Marketing Manager***
**Cansu Ekici, B. of B.A.**
PRT-Research and Technology
Vimy Str 1e
85354 Freising, Germany
**E-Mail: parlar@wzw.tum.de**
**parlar@prt-parlar.de**
**Phone: +49/8161887988**

**Fresenius Environmental Bulletin is abstracted/indexed in:**
**Biology & Environmental Sciences, BIOSIS, CAB International, Cambridge Scientific abstracts, Chemical Abstracts, Current Awareness, Current Contents/Agriculture, CSA Civil Engineering Abstracts, CSA Mechanical & Transportation Engineering, IBIDS database, Information Ventures, NISC, Research Alert, Science Citation Index (SCI), Scisearch, Selected Water Resources Abstracts**

# CONTENTS

## ORIGINAL PAPERS

OPTIMIZATION OF DECOLORIZATION OF METANIL YELLOW BY UV/FENTON PROCESS USING RESPONSE SURFACE METHODOLOGY 5785
**Xiaolong Bai, Yongjun Shen, Qiyan Feng, Yunli Wang, Yang Shen, Kaixia Fan**

DESERTIFICATION DUE TO OVERGRAZING IN A DYNAMIC VEGETATION-SAND-GRAZER SYSTEM 5794
**Feifan Zhang, Huayong Zhang, Tousheng Huang, Wang Tian**

THE IMPACT OF INCOME LEVELS OF COUNTRIES ON ENVIRONMENTAL POLLUTION: TESTING THE ENVIRONMENTAL KUZNETS CURVE 5804
**Sema Camci-Cetin, Murat Mustafa Kutluturk, Ahmet Kibar Cetin**

POTENTIAL DESERTIFICATION RISK AND DIFFICULTY OF RESTORATION IN A DYNAMIC VEGETATION AND AEOLIAN SAND SYSTEM 5811
**Feifan Zhang, Huayong Zhang, Matthew R Evans, Tousheng Huang, Wang Tian**

EFFECTS OF SUSPENDED NORMAL-SCALE AND NANOSCALE PARTICLES ON LEAD TOXICITY TO *DAPHNIA MAGNA* 5825
**Peiyong Guo, Xiaoyan Zhang, Jinhua Deng, Lu Liu, Yang Liu**

SIMULTANEOUS AND RAPID ANALYSIS OF INTRACELLULAR POLYMER DURING DENITRIFYING PHOSPHORUS REMOVAL USING NEAR INFRARED SPECTROSCOPY AND CHEMOMETRICS 5831
**Hua Zhang, Wen-chao Zhang, Jian Huang, Jiu Liu Chang-cheng Li, Xiong Zhang, Xiao-kun Yu, Zhao-liang Wu**

AN ANALYSIS OF SLOW ACTIVE INGREDIENT PERMEATION THROUGH INSECTS' CUTICLE AS THE MECHANISM OF CABBAGE SEED WEEVILS' (*CEUTORHYNCHUSASSIMILIS*PAYK.) (COLEOPTERA: CURCULIONIDAE) INSENSITIVITY TO INDOXACARB 5839
**Joanna Zamojska, Dariusz Drozdzynski, Pawel Wegorek, Daria Dworzanska**

CHEMICAL COMPONENT ANALYSIS OF ACID RAIN AND ITS SOURCE ASSESSMENT IN YONGCHUAN, SOUTHWEST CHINA 5849
**Gongduan Fan, Xiuyong Lin, Wang Shumin, Jin Zhang, Keshu Huang, Xiaomei Zheng**

A COMPREHENSIVE STUDY OF VARIOUS LOAM PROPERTIES OF BESARIK FIELD TO OBTAIN ECO FRIENDLY BUILDING MATERIALS 5858
**Saken Kurbaniyazov, Nurlybek Abdimutalip, Zakhida Kozhabekova, Akmaral Tazhekova, Gaziza Toychibekova, Gulshat Shalabayeva, Madina Akeshova**

TIME-INDEPENDENT RHEOLOGICAL BEHAVIOUR OF PEANUT BUTTER: MODELLING AND ARTIFICIAL NEURAL NETWORK APPLICATION 5863
**Hakan Yogurtcu**

ARSENIC EFFECTS ON LIPID PEROXIDATION AND ANTIOXIDATIVE ENZYME ACTIVITIES IN BARLEY CULTIVARS ROOTS 5871
**Sezgi Peksen, Filiz Sanal**

DURABILITY OF THE PISHA SANDSTONE CONSOLIDATION BODY SOLIDIFIED BY HYDRAULIC POLYURETHANE IN SIMULATED UV TESTS 5882
**Zhishui Liang, Zhiren Wu, Qu Feng, Lin Deng, Mohammad Noori**

EFFECT OF CRACKING ON PERMEABILITY OF COMPACTED CLAY LINER UNDER CONSOLIDATION PRESSURE IN LANDFILLS 5891
**Shengwei Wang, Yanhua Shao, Nan Zhang, Gaofeng Fu, Haipeng He, Huan Zhu**

SPATIAL EVALUATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL SUITABILITY FOR HUMAN SETTLEMENT OF KASHGAR, NORTHWEST CHINA 5899
**Aynur Mamat, Liming Wang, Fang Yan**

STUDY ON LAND USE CLASSIFICATION AND ELASTIC URBANIZATION IN CHENGGUAN DISTRICT OF LHASA CITY 5908
**Yue Zhang, Kang Hou, Xuxiang Li, Yue Chang**

DETERMINATION OF THE PERFORMANCE OF SOME NECTARINE CULTIVARS IN SEMI-ARID CONDITIONS 5914
**Ali Ikinci, Ibrahim Bolat**

IONIC LIQUID ASSISTED SYNTHESIS OF Cu/g-$C_3N_4$ COMPOSITES WITH ENHANCED VISIBLE LIGHT PHOTOCATALYTIC ACTIVITY 5923
**Beibei Zhang, Pengcheng Yan, Jiexiang Xia, Huaming Li, Changfeng Li**

EFFECTS OF CROWN GALL (*AGROBACTERIUM VITIS*) ON DEVELOPMENT, YIELD, QUALITY AND RIPENING OF GRAPEVINES (*VITIS VINIFERA*) 5933
**Adem Yagci, Cihan Emre Gozener**

BOTANICAL DEMOGRAPHY AND TRADITIONAL USES OF PLANTS OF KAGHAN VALLEY 5940
**Zainab Tahir, Sunbal Khalil Chaudhari, Sohaib Arshad, Muhammad Shoaib Amjad, Saboon**

IN-VITRO ANTIOXIDANT AND ANTIMICROBIAL ACTIVITIES AND VARIOUS ENZYME INHIBITORY ACTIVITIES OF ETHANOL EXTRACTS FROM DIFFERENT ORGANS OF *CONSOLIDA REGALIS* 5950
**Esra Ucar**

L-MOMENT RATIO MAPS OF ANNUAL PEAK FLOWS IN TURKISH RIVERS 5958
**Omer Levend Asikoglu, Orhan Niftelizade**

ASSESSMENT OF CADMIUM AND LEAD REMOVAL FROM AQUEOUS SOLUTIONS BY *NOSTOC MUSCORUM* 5965
**Javad Cheraghpour, Zahra Etemadifar, Saeed Afsharzadeh, Nima Bahador**

CHEMICAL ANALYSIS OF FILAMENTOUS ALGAE OF THE SEMIPALATINSK REGION BY INDUCTIVELY COUPLED PLASMA MASS SPECTROMETRY 5975
**Kulbanu Kabdulkarimova, Saule Seilgazina, Nuriya Omarova, Zhanar Kassymova, Lyudmila Ibrayeva, Meruyert Kaygusuz**

ESTIMATION OF LINKAGE DISEQUILIBRIUM AND POPULATION STRUCTURE AMONG *BRASSICA NAPUS* GENOTYPES FOR ASSOCIATION MAPPING 5980
**Muhammad Ilyas, Ghulam Shabbir, Sohail Ahmad Jan, Malik Ashiq Rabbani, Saad Imran Malik, Muhammad Ansar, Muhammad Ishaq Ibrahim, Haris Khurshid**

LEVELS OF ARSENIC, BORON, NICKEL, SILICIUM, CADMIUM, LEAD AND ALUMINIUM IN CATTLE (LIVER) AND CHICKEN (LIVER AND HEART) OFFALS RETAILED IN TURKEY 5987
**Filiz Yilmaz-Aksu, Duygu Tarhan, Sema Sandikci-Altunatmaz, Nural Pastaci-Ozsobaci, Umit Bora Barutcu, Mehmet Erman Or**

EVALUATION OF FIFTY IRRIGATED SPRING WHEAT GENOTYPES GROWN UNDER LATE SOWN HEAT STRESS CONDITION IN MULTIPLE ENVIRONMENTS OF BANGLADESH 5993
**Monwar Hossain, Akbar Hossain, Ashraful Alam, Ayman El Sabagh, Khandakar Faisal Ibn Murad, Monjurul Haque, Muniruzzaman, Zaherul Islam, Shilpi Das, Celaleddin Barutcular, Ferhat Kizilgeci**

TOTAL AND SOLUBLE ALUMINUM CONCENTRATION AND SUSPENDED PARTICLES SIZE DISTRIBUTIONS IMPACTED BY SULFATE AFTER THE NaOH NEUTRALIZATION OF Al-BEARING ACID MINE DRAINAGE 6005
**Gaolei Zhao, Ruifeng Liang, Kaili Wang, Kefeng Li, Xunchi Pu**

PARAMETERS AFFECTING THE LEVELS OF ORGANOCHLORINE PESTICIDE RESIDUES IN HUMAN BREAST MILK: A REVIEW 6015
**Soukaina Ennaceur**

FRUIT CHARACTERISTICS AND FATTY ACID CONTENTS OF *AMYGDALUS ORIENTALIS* (MILL) AND *AMYGDALUS TURCOMANICA* (LINCZ) ALMOND SPECIES 6021
**Safder Bayazıt**

INVESTIGATION OF ISCHEMIA-MODIFIED ALBUMIN IN ACUTE ISCHEMIC STROKE 6031
**Changyang Zhong, Li Ning, Yan Zhang, Yongxin Yan, Yinnan Wei**

DETECTION OF PROKARYOTIC COMMUNITIES OF TWO SOLAR SALTERNS IN SUNGURLU (CORUM, TURKEY) 6036
**Seval Cinar, Mehmet Burcin Mutlu**

A RESEARCH ON KOCAELI GEOPHYTES AND THEIR ORNAMENTAL PURPOSES FOR SUSTAINABLE LANDSCAPE DESIGN 6042
**Murat Zencirkiran, Elvan Ender, Sena Cetiner, Aysegul Gorur, Esma Eraslan, Duygu Tanriverdi O, Betul Humeyra Celik**

ANALYSIS OF CONSTITUTION WATER NON-UNIFORMITY AND DIAGENESIS OF THE JADEITE FROM HPAKANT AREA IN MYANMAR 6053
**Yingying Xing, Lijian Qi, Yong He**

COLOR CHANGE ANALYSIS OF DRIED ORANGE SLICES DURING HOT AIR DRYING 6064
**Tunahan Erdem, Omer Baris Ozluoymak, Nacide Kizildag**

BACTERIOLOGICAL ETIOLOGY AND ANTIBIOTIC RESISTANCE PATTERN OF PATIENTS WITH ACQUIRED CHRONIC DACRYOCYSTITIS IN THE NORTH OF IRAN 6073
**Shadman Nemati, Mohammad Javad Mohammadi, Ali Mojtahedi, Maryam Moshtagh Nazm, Pegah Alizadeh Pahlavan**

BIPLOT ANALYSIS FOR HERBAGE YIELD AND QUALITY ATTRIBUTES OF DIFFERENT GRASSPEA (*LATHYRUS SATIVUS* L.) GENOTYPES 6079
**Kagan Kokten, Selim Ozdemir, Yusuf Murat Kardes, Mahmut Kaplan**

MORPHO-ANATOMICAL PETIOLE CHARACTERISTICS OF THE BEECH IN NATURAL POPULATIONS IN SERBIA 6087
**Dusan Jokanovic, Dragica Vilotic, Vesna Nikolic, Mirjana Sijacic-Nikolic, Dragica Stankovic**

VARIABILITY OF MORPHO-ANATOMICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF BALD CYPRESS NEEDLES FROM TOPCIDER PARK (BELGRADE) 6093
**Dusan Jokanovic, Dragica Vilotic, Mirjana Sijacic-Nikolic, Vesna Nikolic, Dragica Stankovic, Branislava Budic**

IMPROVING SHUFFLED COMPLEX EVOLUTION ALGORITHM AND ITS APPLICATION IN OPTIMIZATION OF MULTI-RESERVOIR WATER SYSTEMS 6100
**Omid Bakhtiari Nezhad, Mohsen Najarchi, Mohammad Mahdi Najafizadeh, S Mohammad Mirhosseini Hezaveh**

MONITORING THE PHOSPHORUS CONTENT FROM LAUNDRY DETERGENTS IN THE NIS PUBLIC SANITATION SYSTEM AND THE NISAVA RIVER 6109
**Dusanka Pejcic, Dragan Spasic, Snezana Zivkovic**

A NOVEL HIGH TEMPERATUR ANTIOXIDANT FOR SEVERAL ESTER OILS 6120
**Changqing Miao, Hao Liu, Lina Huang, Laigui Yu, Shengmao Zhang, Pingyu Zhang**

ANALYSIS OF LAND-USE CHANGE IN DENIZLI CITY CENTER THROUGH GEOGRAPHICAL INFORMATION SYSTEMS 6129
**Fatih Temiz, Asli Bozdag, S Savas Durduran**

CULTURABLE THERMOPHILIC CYANOBACTERIA IN THE HOT SPRING GEDIZ-KUTAHYA, TURKEY 6137
**Nalan Yilmaz-Sariozlu, Meral Yilmaz-Cankilic**

PHYSICOCHEMICAL AND SENSORY EVALUATION OF BLENDED BISCUIT OF APPLE, PEAR AND ALMOND 6146
**Muhammad Arshad, Abdur Rahim, Shaukat Ali, Sajjad Ahmad, Rab Nawaz**

A TEMPORARY STORAGE SYSTEMATIC MODEL FOR MEDICAL WASTE BASED ON RFID TECHNOLOGY 6152
**Hao Liu, Zhong Yao, Qi Zhang**

AN ECONOMIC IMPROVEMENT PRACTICE ON NATURAL RANGELANDS: PLANT GROWTH PROMOTING BACTERIA 6162
**Okan Demir, Recep Kotan, Nalan Zeynep Yildirim**

SURFACE WATER AREA VARIATIONS MONITORING AND FLOOD HAZARDS USING LANDSAT IMAGE DATA IN DONGTING LAKE FROM 1988 TO 2016 6168
**Xike Zhang, Qiuwen Zhang, Gui Zhang, Zhiping Nie, Zifan Gui, Huafei Que**

PETROLEUM SLUDGE TREATMENT VIA SUPERCRITICAL WATER GASIFICATION (SCWG) 6179
**Chao Zhang, Zhaomin Li, Shouya Wu, Guowei Ji, Mingxuan Wu, Xueping Zhang**

THE ESTIMATION OF HEAVY METALS IN RIVERS SEDIMENTS IN CHANGJIANG RIVER DELTA BY VISIBLE/NEAR INFRARED (VIS/NIR) SPECTROSCOPY 6184
**Yinxian Song, Jizhou Li, Changping Mao, Tianyuan Li, Yuexing Feng**

STUDY ON SIMULATION AND OPTIMIZATION OF PRESSURE SWING ADSORPTION (PSA) FOR THE HYDROGEN SEPARATION 6195
**Ma Shuo**

COMPARISON OF CONE PENETRATION TEST (CPT) BASED SOIL CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM FOR THE REGOLITH IN TRABZON (NE, TURKEY) 6202
**Bilgehan Kul-Yahsi, Hakan Ersoy**

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT OF HEAVY METALS AND THEIR INTER-ANNUAL CONCENTRATION VARIATIONS IN THE SURFACE SEDIMENTS OF MEIZHOU BAY, FUJIAN PROVINCE, CHINA 6212
**Xiaofeng Guo, Heng Liu, Zhouhua Guo**

NEW MUTATIONS IN *EXT1* AND *EXT2* IN CHINESE FAMILIES WITH HEREDITARY MULTIPLE EXOSTOSES 6222
**Yi Quan, Yanru Huang, Xianda Wei, Huimin Zhu, Desheng Liang, Lingqian Wu**

SUSCEPTIBILITY OF GRAPEVINE CULTIVARS AND ROOTSTOCKS TO CROWN GALL DISEASE (*RHIZOBIUM VITIS*) IN THE AEGEAN REGION OF TURKEY 6229
**Davut Soner Akgul, Umit Ozyilmaz, Serkan Onder, Sermin Celik, Ramazan Oguzhan Soltekin, Kemal Benlioglu**

RAD23 AS A NEGATIVE REGULATOR OF BARLEY POWDERY MILDEW DISEASE RESISTANCE 6239
**Yagmur Bulduklu, Figen Ersoy, Mahinur Akkaya**

CHARACTERISTICS ON TEMPO-SPATIAL DISTRIBUTION OF WATER QUALITY AND IDENTIFICATION OF RELEVANT INFLUENTIAL FACTORS IN SMALL-SCALE BASINS OF SOUTH CHINA 6245
**Yun Zhang, Xiaohong Chen, Yanqing Lian, Yiying He, Lingling Chen, Hualong Ding**

CHALLENGES AND STRATEGIES IN USING RECLAIMED WATER IN SOUTHERN CHINA 6255
**Yun Zhang, Xiaohong Chen, Yanqing Lian, Bingjun Liu**

THE EFFECTS OF SOME SOIL PROPERTIES ON PLANT DENSITY IN SEMI-ARID REGIONS: A STRUCTURAL EQUATION MODELLING APPROACH FOR INTERACTIONS AMONG SOIL ORGANIC MATTER, SALINITY AND $CaCO_3$ 6263
**Melda Dolarslan**

SOUND ABSORPTION PERFORMANCE OF A SINGLE LAYER MACROMOLECULE MICROPERFORATION BOARD BASED ON MAA COMPUTING 6272
**Kun Wang, Wei Wang, Mingxing He, Xiong Yan**

IMPROVING PERFORMANCE OF THE INTEGRATED ELECTRONIC CONTROL UNIT ON PHEROMONE BAITED TRAPS AS A NEW APPROACH IN BIOTECHNOLOGICAL CONTROL METHODS 6279
**Osman Cicek, Gonca Ece Ozcan, Korhan Enez, Mustafa Yildiz**

INTER- AND INTRA-ANNUAL VARIATIONS OF THE MARINE ENVIRONMENT IN NORTHERN CYPRUS 6284
**Fethi Bengil**

GENOTYPE X ENVIRONMENT INTERACTIONS AND STABILITY ANALYSIS FOR THE YIELD AND YIELD COMPONENTS IN WINTER CHICKPEA (*CICER ARIENTINUM* L.) 6291
**Tolga Karakoy, Aybegun Ton, Adem Emin Anlarsal**

CONSERVATION AGRICULTURE, A WAY TO CONSERVE SOIL CARBON FOR SUSTAINABLE AGRICULTURE PRODUCTIVITY AND MITIGATING CLIMATE CHANGE: A REVIEW 6297
**Muhammad Adeel, Muhammad Zain, Jamil Shafi, Muhammad Arslan Ahmad, Muhammad Rizwan, Muhammad Shafiq, Yukui Rui**

GASIFICATION OF REFINERY SLUDGE IN SUPERCRITICAL WATER 6309
**Ming Xin**

DETERMINATION OF CATCH COMPOSITION IN THE BOTTOM TRAWLING USING MULTIVARIATE STATISTICAL METHODS IN EASTERN MEDITERRANEAN 6317
**Levent Sangun, Nuri Basusta, O Inanc Guney**

UNVEIL OF SUPERIOR LANDRACES OF COMMON VETCH (*VICIA SATIVA* L.) FOR YIELD AND YIELD COMPONENTS TO OVERCOME BOTTLENECK 6324
**Mehmet Oten, Semiha Kiremitci, Cengiz Erdurmus**

COMPARISON OF POND-RAISED AND WILD FEMALE MARSH FROG (*PELOPHYLAX RIDIBUNDUS*) WITH RESPECT TO PROXIMATE COMPOSITION AND AMINO ACIDS PROFILES 6330
**Ahmet Alkaya, Hulya Sereflisan, Suat Dikel, Menderes Sereflisan**

RESPONSE OF DIFFERENT LEVEL OF NITROGEN AND SULPHUR DOSES ON OIL YIELD AND SEED NUTRIENTS CONTENT OF SUNFLOWER (*HELIANTHUS ANNUUS* L.) 6337
**Ismail Demir, Dilek Basalma**

STRUCTURAL STABILITY OF SIMPLY PROTECTED CAMERA FOR PRECISION PHOTOGRAMMETRY IN HIGH-LOW TEMPERATURE 6343
**Kai-feng Ma, Gui-ping Huang, Wen-fang Zhu, Wei-feng Wang**

STUDY ON INFLUENCING FACTORS OF HEAVY OIL WASTEWATER TREATMENT VIA SUPERCRITICAL WATER OXIDATION 6351
**Hualong Liu, Junwei Hou, Hongfu Fan, Yishan Liu**

INVESTIGATION OF ROLE OF CASR GENE POLYMORPHISM IN THE PATHOGENESIS OF PATIENTS WITH CHRONIC RENAL FAILURE 6356
**Kursat Kargun**

GROWTH TRAITS OF *QUERCUS MACRANTHERA* SUBSP. *SYSPIRENSIS* POPULATIONS IN TURKEY AND THEIR ASSOCIATIONS WITH ENVIRONMENTAL VARIABLES 6362
**Cengiz Yucedag, Oliver Gailing, Halil Baris Ozel**

EVALUATION OF SOME CHEMICAL CONSTITUENTS, ANTIOXIDANT, ANTIBACTERIAL AND ANTICANCER ACTIVITIES OF *BETA VULGARIS* L. ROOT 6369
**Hossam S El-Beltagi, Heba I Mohamed, Basma M H Megahed, Mohammed Gamal, Gehan Safwat**

ESTIMATION OF HEAVY METAL FRACTIONS, SOURCES AND UPTAKE BY PLANTS IN CHERRY ORCHARDS (CANAKKALE-LAPSEKI, NORTHWESTERN TURKEY) 6379
**Engin Gur, Ali Sungur**

BAYESIAN INFERENCE OF GENETIC PARAMETERS FOR 305 DAY MILK YIELD IN TURKISH HOLSTEINS VIA GIBBS SAMPLING 6388
**Yakut Gevrekci, Burcu Mestav, Cigdem Takma**

DEVELOPMENT OF AN AUTOMATED LC-MS/MS METHOD FOR THE DETERMINATION OF EIGHT PHARMACEUTICAL COMPOUNDS IN WASTEWATER 6394
**Stefano Dugheri, Laura Palli, Costanza Bossi, Alessandro Bonari, Nicola Mucci, Daniela Santianni, Giulio Arcangeli, Piero Sirini, Riccardo Gori**

GENETIC DIVERSITY OF TURKISH CITRUS CHLOROTIC DWARF-ASSOCIATED VIRUS ISOLATES BASED ON COAT PROTEIN GENE 6403
**Ali Karanfil, Savas Korkmaz**

THE MEASURE AND EVALUATION OF ECOLOGICAL FOOTPRINT: A CASE OF SICHUAN PROVINCE IN CHINA 6408
**Qu Yang, Xiangsheng Dou**

GEOMETRIC MODELING AND STATISTICAL COMPARISON OF SOME SAGE GLANDULAR HAIRS 6417
**Ali Ozdemir**

STUDY ON SUPERCRITICAL WATER GASIFICATION OF TRIBUTYL PHOSPHATE 6422
**Qiong Li, Leiying Liu, Leishan Chen, Yanbo Wang**

NUCLEOTIDE SEQUENCE VARIATIONS OF LACTOFERRIN GENE IN ANATOLIAN WATER BUFFALOES 6427
**Selcuk Kaplan**

© by PSP Volume 27 – No. 9/2018 pages 6162-6167 Fresenius Environmental Bulletin

# AN ECONOMIC IMPROVEMENT PRACTICE ON NATURAL RANGELANDS: PLANT GROWTH PROMOTING BACTERIA

**Okan Demir[1,*], Recep Kotan[2], Nalan Zeynep Yildirim[3]**

[1]Atatürk University, Faculty of Agriculture, Department of Agricultural Economics, Erzurum, Turkey
[2]Atatürk University, Faculty of Agriculture, Department of Plant Protection, Erzurum, Turkey
[3]Ministry of Food, Agriculture and Livestock, Erzurum Provincial Directorate, Erzurum, Turkey

## ABSTRACT

The main objective of agricultural applications using intensive chemical fertilizers is to guarantee high yield and quality. But these applications are costly and cause environmental problems. For these reasons, there has recently been increased interest in environmental friendly, sustainable and organic farming practices. In this study was to evaluate and compare the cost of different microbial bio-fertilizers, commercial organic fertilizer and chemical fertilizer treatments, applied on rangeland in Erzurum, Turkey. A total of 22 different applications were evaluated for the economic analysis of plant nutrient applications from different sources in natural rangeland conditions in Erzurum province. As an alternative for chemical and commercial organic fertilizer applications, only the subjects that 5 different bacteria replaced with reduced fertilizer doses. As a result of the study, half-dose nitrogen + *Pseudomonas fluorescens* T26 treatment and half-dose nitrogen + half dose Phosphorus + *Paenibacillus polymyxa* TV-12E can be recommended in terms of economical. In rangelands, bacterial applications will provide yield increase, and also protects the underground water and soil resources against nitrate pollution.

**KEYWORDS:**
Nutrient management, PGPR, Rangelands, Turkey

## INTRODUCTION

Fertilizers can be classified into organic and inorganic fertilizer categories. Organic fertilizers are produced through natural processes while inorganic fertilizers are produced through chemical processes using natural sources, by chemically altered.

In agriculture, fertilizers are chemical compounds applied to promote plant growth, but using of excess fertilizers leads to nitrate accumulation in the soil and excess nitrate ions mix into groundwater due to not absorbed by soil and plants. The specific level of this ions in the groundwater can cause serious environmental and health problems. For these reasons, it is recommended to use bio-fertilizer and organic fertilizer instead of chemical fertilizer in the absence of nutrients in the soil and to prevent high cost and environmental pollution with these applications.

Unlike cultivable lands, rangeland areas are mainly used for animal grazing and grazing is the most effective and economic improvement practice provided that proper management principles. But under some conditions, in addition to appropriate grazing management practices, other additional improving practices are necessary to increase yield [1, 2]. Fertilizer application especially nitrogen fertilization may be effective on yield increasing of rangelands. Phosphorus is another important nutrient for rangeland vegetation. But nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizer production cost may be too high and using too much nitrogen fertilizer may cause environmental pollution.

The use of bio-fertilizers, which contain beneficial microorganisms instead of synthetic chemicals, to helps maintain the environmental health and soil fertility while improve plant growth [3]. Many bacterial species have been studied during the years and many species have been identified that are useful for plant growth, yield and product quality. They have been called 'plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR)' including the strains in the general *Acinetobacter*, *Alcaligenes*, *Arthrobacter*, *Azospirillium*, *Azotobacter*, *Bacillus*, *Beijerinckia*, *Burkholderia*, *Enterobacter*, *Erwinia*, *Flavobacterium*, *Rhizobium* and *Serratia* [4, 5]. PGPBs enhances plant growth through various mechanisms like nitrogen cycling through nitrification, denitrification, fixation, mineralization and increasing solubilization of phosphate [6]. In some previous studies, it was found that PGPR could stimulate growth and increase yield in plum [7], sour cherry [8], cauliflower [9], lettuce [10], cabbage [11, 12], saffron [13], mountain tea [14].

This study was carried out in Erzurum province, and it is one of the important animal production centers with wide meadows and rangeland areas, and 6.7% of total meadow areas, 10.3% of total rangeland areas of Turkey are located in Erzurum [15]. In this region, an increase of the yield and quality of pasture has crucial important due to livestock activities is mainly based on rangelands.

The aim of this study was to evaluate and compare the cost of different PGPBs, commercial organic fertilizer and chemical fertilizer treatments, applied on rangeland in Erzurum, Turkey.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Bacterial strains used in this study.** PGPBs strains (*Pseudomonas fluorescens* T26, *Pantoea agglomerans* 16B, *Paenibacillus polymyxa* TV-12E, *Bacillus cereus* TV-30D and *Bacillus megaterium* TV-3D) used in this study were obtained from the culture collection unit in the Department of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agriculture at Atatürk University, Erzurum, Turkey. These non-pathogenic bacterial strains had been isolated from the rhizosphere and phyllosphere *of* wild and traditionally cultivated plants growing in the Eastern Anatolia region of Turkey [16, 17]. The list of the bacterial isolates and their origin is presented in Table 1.

**Application procedure of bacterial bioformulation.** Application of the bacterial bioformulation was performed using the spraying method. Approximately, 0.2 g of sucrose (10 mg/ml) was added to each clear spray bottle containing 500 ml of the bacterial bioformulation ($1x10^7$ CFU/ml). After shaking, the suspension was sprayed on plants. Additional applications were done at 15 days after first application.

**Research area and treatments.** This study was carried out on rangeland in 37S 0677633E-4420815N and 37S 0677625E-4420790 N with 2010 m altitude in Erzurum, Turkey, during the years 2011-2014, for 4 years period. This pasture has been grazed intensively for many years. The study area was delineated and fenced in the year 2010 to protect animal grazing. In this study, total 22 treatments were applied (Table 2). Fertilizers used were ammonium nitrate (33.5 percent nitrogen), triple superphosphate (44 percent available $P_2O_5$). The experiment was designed in a randomized complete block design, replicated three times. The size of treatment plots was 6 $m^2$ and total plots number were 66. There was three meters distance between blocks, and 2 meters between plots to prevent the transitions of the treatments each other.

**Economic Analysis.** Partial budgeting and marginal analysis were used to determine the superiority of the between treatments. Strategies for

**TABLE 1**
**Bacterial strains used in this study, their host isolated, nitrogen fixation and phosphate-solubilizing activity**

| Bacterial strain | Sources | $N_2$-fixation | P-solubilization |
|---|---|---|---|
| *Pantoea agglomerans* 16B | *Thymus* sp. | + | + |
| *Bacillus megaterium* TV-3D | *Secale* sp. | + | + |
| *Pseudomonas flourescens* T26 | Wild raspberries | $S^+$ | + |
| *Paenibacillus polymyxa* TV-12E | Wheat | $S^+$ | + |
| *Bacillus cereus* TV-30D | Wild beet | + | - |

S+: strong positive reaction, +: positive reaction, -: negative reaction

**TABLE 2**
**The treated chemical fertilizers, commercial organic fertilizer and PGPB lists**

| Treatments | Material Use (unit / da) | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Nitrogen (kg/da) | Phosphorus (kg/da) | Bacterium Lt/da | | Commercial organic fertilizer (lt/da) |
| $T_1$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| $T_2$ | 10 | 5 | -- | -- | -- |
| $T_3$ | 10 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| $T_4$ | -- | 5 | -- | -- | -- |
| $T_5$ | -- | -- | *Pseudomonas fluorescens* T26 | 0.2 | -- |
| $T_6$ | -- | -- | *Pantoea agglomerans* 16B | 0.2 | -- |
| $T_7$ | -- | -- | *Paenibacillus polymyxa* TV 12E | 0.2 | -- |
| $T_8$ | -- | -- | *Bacillus cereus* TV-30D | 0.2 | -- |
| $T_9$ | -- | -- | *Bacillus megatherium* TV-3D | 0.2 | -- |
| $T_{10}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | 0.3 |
| $T_{11}$ | 5 | -- | *Pseudomonas fluorescens* T26 | 0.2 | -- |
| $T_{12}$ | 5 | -- | *Pantoea agglomerans* 16B | 0.2 | -- |
| $T_{13}$ | 5 | -- | *Paenibacillus polymyxa* TV-12E | 0.2 | -- |
| $T_{14}$ | 5 | -- | *Bacillus cereus* TV-30D | 0.2 | -- |
| $T_{15}$ | 5 | -- | *Bacillus megatherium* TV-3D | 0.2 | -- |
| $T_{16}$ | 5 | -- | -- | -- | 0.3 |
| $T_{17}$ | 5 | 2.5 | *Pseudomonas fluorescens* T26 | 0.2 | -- |
| $T_{18}$ | 5 | 2.5 | *Pantoea agglomerans* 16B | 0.2 | -- |
| $T_{19}$ | 5 | 2.5 | *Paenibacillus polymyxa* TV-12E | 0.2 | -- |
| $T_{20}$ | 5 | 2.5 | *Bacillus cereus* TV-30D | 0.2 | -- |
| $T_{21}$ | 5 | 2.5 | *Bacillus megatherium* TV-3D | 0.2 | -- |
| $T_{22}$ | 5 | 2.5 | -- | -- | 0.3 |

economic analysis were embraced from Cimmyt [18]. The method of the partial budget was used to calculate the costs and benefits of numerous alternative treatments. In the Partial Budget Analysis (PBA), the application differences between the study subjects were considered as variable and the other costs were regarded as constant among the treatments (ceteris paribus). These costs are named Total Variable Costs (TVC) which is subtracted from Gross Return to give Net Return. Gross return is the product of yield and the price per unit of output. Costs of fertilization in the trial subjects and the value of the product obtained after the application were calculated based on the market prices in 2017.

Benefit-cost ratio calculated for each treatment by the following formula:

$$BCR = Net\ return\ /Expenditure$$

Marginal analysis in PBA is the comparison of the change in TVCs with a change in Net return. This comparison reveals the change in benefits associated with a using technology. Marginal Rate of Return (MRR) is calculated in the study. MRR is the ratio of the marginal net return to marginal cost. The marginal net return is the difference between the net return' of two consecutive treatments while the difference between the TVCs is the marginal cost.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The cost, efficiency and income items for the experiment treatments are given in Table 3. Depending on the amount of used material, the amount of costs can vary. The subject of $T_2$, used the full doses of chemical fertilizers, had the most costly material with 54.21 TL / da. The subject $T_{22}$ (half doses of N and P plus commercial organic fertilizer), with 49.605 TL / da cost, had secondly material cost in terms of the amount of the cost. These two subjects were followed by the subject $T_{16}$ (half doses of nitrogen plus commercial organic fertilizer). Except for control treatment (was made no application) $T_5$, $T_6$, $T_7$, $T_8$, and $T_9$ were the most advantageous subjects in terms of application cost.

When evaluated in terms of hay yield, the subject $T_{19}$, applied half-dose nitrogen, half-dose phosphorus plus bacteria had the highest yield with 328.9 kg/da. After the subject $T_{19}$ subject $T_{20}$, $T_{21}$, $T_{17}$, applied half dose chemical fertilizers plus different bacteria had a higher yield than that of the other subjects. The yields of these subjects were 312.7 kg, 310.4 kg and 309.9 kg/da respectively. The lowest dry yields were $T_{10}$ (187.5 kg/da), $T_6$ (190 kg/da) and $T_4$ (193.1 kg/da). It can be said that the reason of the highest yields, obtained from the subjects that applied reduced by 50% of the chemical fertilization doses replaced by bacteria may be related with increasing effects of the bacteria on the productivity or the increasing of the efficiency of chemical fertilizers or made by bacteria in the soil and in the plant. Previous studies have shown that the microbial fertilizers increase the nutrient activity in plants [19-22].

It was stated that the substitution of bacterium to a certain amount of chemical fertilizer may increase the yields in different plants in the previous out studies. Hernández and Chailloux [23] found that bacterial substitution to reduced by 25% of chemical fertilizer application had higher yields than full chemical fertilizer application. Riggs, Chelius [24] indicated that application of bacteria in corn plants significantly increased plant growth and yield. Rashedul, Madhaiyan [25] reported that using of bacterial as an alternative to chemical fertilizer has positive effects on seed germination, plant height and grain yield in many plants produced in field and greenhouse conditions. Rosas, Avanzini [26] found that the using of bacteria more increased grain yield, harvest index and protein content than lower doses of fertilizer in wheat. In crop production, a number of studies have been carried out that demonstrated the positive effects of bacterial use on yield and other parameters [6, 27-31].

In terms of gross income, subjects with the highest value were $T_{19}$ (296 TL / da), $T_{20}$ (281.4 TL / da) and $T_{21}$ (279.4 TL / da). As a natural result of productivity, the subject ranking in gross income results was the same as the yield order. Net income is one of the criteria that can most obviously show the economic advantages and disadvantages between the applications. Similar to gross income results the subjects that applied half-dose nitrogen, half-dose phosphorus plus bacteria had the highest net income. The highest net income was in $T_{19}$ with 264.2 TL / da. $T_{19}$ was followed by $T_{20}$ (249.5 TL / da) and $T_{21}$ (247.5 TL/da). The lowest net income was $T_{10}$ (146.2 TL / da), $T_4$ (155.9 TL / da) and $T_6$ (166.2 TL /da). Similarly, Gurdeep and Reddy [32] found that phosphate-solubilizing bacterial applications had higher net income than chemical fertilizers in corn and wheat production.

The benefit-cost ratio is used to express proportionally the generated income to the costs in any production or investment activity. In other words, it represents net income per unit of cost. In this study, $T_9$, $T_7$ and $T_8$ were the most advantageous subjects in terms of cost-benefit ratio, while the subjects $T_{22}$, $T_2$ and $T_{16}$ were the least advantageous. Jilani, Akram [33], found that the highest yields were chemical fertilization in corn plant, but they expressed that organic and biocompatible fertilizers had a higher income and cost-benefit ratio due to the lower costs. Mishra, Prasad [34], in pea production, they evaluated the chemical fertilizers and different doses of bacteria and obtained the highest gross and net income from bacteria application.

When the evaluated the trial subjects, the main subject identified was the $T_1$ comparative basis.

There is no calculation dealing with net income for $T_1$, but only income obtained as a result of enclosure to animal grazing. In enclosed rangeland, when compared to no application treatment each additional nutrient element may economically feasible treatment, depend on providing an economical benefit or not. The subjects $T_4$, $T_5$, $T_6$, $T_7$, $T_8$, $T_{10}$, $T_{14}$, $T_{16,}$ and $T_{22}$, were eliminated and not evaluated by marginal analysis, due to not provided economical benefit, and occurred an economical loss.

Comparison of applications in terms of marginal revenue ratio (MRR), it is important to understand how additional doses of the application will affect net income. The MRR percent rate refers to the change (increase or decrease) in the net income for an increase in cost by 100 units depending on the additional treatment.

In terms of marginal income, the subject $T_{11}$ was the most advantageous subject. For the additional 100 liras cost, $T_{11}$ made 285.5 TL, $T_{19}$ 266.4 and $T_{20}$ 220.3 TL net income, while the least advantageous subjects were $T_{13}$, $T_{12}$ and $T_2$, respectively

If a general evaluation will be made of this study, carried out in the pasture conditions in Erzurum province; it has been determined that phosphorus application alone, bacterial application alone (except *Bacillus megatherium* TV-3D), commercial organic fertilizer application alone, half nitrogen + commercial organic fertilizer application, half nitrogen + half phosphorous + commercial organic fertilizer applications were not economical, the yield, obtained from enclosure treatment was higher than that of these treatments. Where as, in rangelands, it is not recommended to apply any of the subjects $T_4$, $T_5$, $T_6$, $T_7$, $T_8$, $T_{10}$, $T_{14}$, $T_{16,}$ and $T_{22}$.

According to the results of this study, Nitrogen alone, nitrogen + phosphorus, nitrogen + bacteria, and nitrogen + phosphor + bacteria applications were economically profitable between the treatments more profitable ones than in $T_1$ for the recommendation of any treatment was made based on net income and marginal income ratio. The treatment having highest net income can be suggested, if the investor has not any capital constraints for the resource utilization, that is, if there is enough capital to use the resources at the optimum level. If the investor's resource use is limited, the subject that the resource utilization is the most economically efficient, that is, the marginal income ratio is the highest will be suggested. In terms of net income, the subject $T_{19}$ (5 kg/da N + 2.5 kg/da P + *Paenibacillus polymyxa* TV-12E) was the most advantageous subject with 269,2 TL; while in terms of marginal income ratio, the most advantageous subject was the subject $T_{11}$ (5 kg/da N + *Pseudomonas fluorescens* T26) with 285.5%.

## CONCLUSION

In this study, 22 different issues were evaluated for the economic analysis of plant nutrient applications from different sources in natural rangeland conditions in Erzurum province. As an alternative for chemical and commercial organic fertilizer applications, only the subjects that 5 different bacteria replaced to reduced fertilizer doses. As a result

**TABLE 3**
**Average dry matter yield and economical analysis results**

| Treatments | Average Dry Yield (kg/da) | Costs that vary (Marginal Costs) (TL/da) | Yield | Gross Benefits (TL/da) | Net Benefits (TL/da) | Marginal Net Benefits (TL/da) | BCR | MRR % |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| $T_1$ (Base) | 199.2 | 0 | 199.2 | 179.3 | 179.3 | -- | -- | -- |
| $T_2$ | 271.6 | 54.21 | 271.6 | 244.4 | 190.2 | 10.9 | 3.51 | 20.1 |
| $T_3$ | 256.7 | 36.36 | 256.7 | 231.0 | 194.7 | 15.4 | 5.35 | 42.3 |
| $T_4$ | 193.1 | 17.85 | 193.1 | 173.8 | 155.9 | -23.4 | 8.74 | -131.1 |
| $T_5$ | 193.5 | 4.76 | 193.5 | 174.1 | 169.4 | -9.9 | 35.58 | -208.9 |
| $T_6$ | 190.0 | 4.76 | 190.0 | 171.0 | 166.2 | -13.1 | 34.92 | -274.7 |
| $T_7$ | 194.2 | 4.76 | 194.2 | 174.8 | 170.1 | -9.3 | 35.73 | -194.5 |
| $T_8$ | 194.3 | 4.76 | 194.3 | 174.9 | 170.1 | -9.2 | 35.73 | -193.8 |
| $T_9$ | 211.9 | 4.76 | 211.9 | 190.7 | 186.0 | 6.7 | 39.07 | 139.7 |
| $T_{10}$ | 187.5 | 22.5 | 187.5 | 168.7 | 146.2 | -33.1 | 6.50 | -147.0 |
| $T_{11}$ | 297.4 | 22.94 | 297.4 | 267.7 | 244.8 | 65.4 | 10.67 | 285.3 |
| $T_{12}$ | 226.8 | 22.94 | 226.8 | 204.1 | 181.1 | 1.8 | 7.90 | 8.0 |
| $T_{13}$ | 226.6 | 22.94 | 226.6 | 204.0 | 181.0 | 1.7 | 7.89 | 7.5 |
| $T_{14}$ | 213.1 | 22.94 | 213.1 | 191.8 | 168.8 | -10.5 | 7.36 | -45.7 |
| $T_{15}$ | 248.4 | 22.94 | 248.4 | 223.6 | 200.6 | 21.3 | 8.75 | 92.9 |
| $T_{16}$ | 236.9 | 40.68 | 236.9 | 213.2 | 172.5 | -6.8 | 4.24 | -16.7 |
| $T_{17}$ | 309.9 | 31.87 | 309.9 | 278.9 | 247.0 | 67.7 | 7.75 | 212.5 |
| $T_{18}$ | 251.3 | 31.87 | 251.3 | 226.2 | 194.3 | 15.0 | 6.10 | 47.1 |
| $T_{19}$ | 328.9 | 31.87 | 328.9 | 296.0 | 264.2 | 84.8 | 8.29 | 266.3 |
| $T_{20}$ | 312.7 | 31.87 | 312.7 | 281.4 | 249.5 | 70.2 | 7.83 | 220.4 |
| $T_{21}$ | 310.4 | 31.87 | 310.4 | 279.4 | 247.5 | 68.2 | 7.77 | 214.1 |
| $T_{22}$ | 247.5 | 49.605 | 247.5 | 222.7 | 173.1 | -6.2 | 3.49 | -12.5 |

of the study, half-dose nitrogen + *Pseudomonas fluorescens* T26 treatment and half-dose nitrogen + half dose Phosphorus + *Paenibacillus polymyxa* TV-12E can be recommended in terms of economical. In rangelands, bacterial applications will provide yield increase, and also protects the underground water and soil resources against nitrate pollution.

## REFERENCES


[1] Dasci, M., Coskun, T., Birhan, H., Yildirim, N.Z. and Bakir, H. (2010) The effects of some improving methods on dry matter yield and vegetation cover on heavy grazed rangeland. Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances. 9(11), 1676-1680.

[2] Holechek, J.L., Pieper, R.D., and Herbel, C.H. (1989) Range management. Principles and practices: Prentice-Hall.

[3] Shrivastava, S., Egamberdieva, D. and Varma, A. (2015) Plant Growth-Promoting Rhizobacteria (PGPR) and Medicinal Plants: The State of the Art. In: Egamberdieva, D., Shrivastava, S., Varma, A. (eds.) Plant-Growth-Promoting Rhizobacteria (PGPR) and Medicinal Plants. Soil Biology. Vol:42 Springer, Cham. 1-16.

[4] Mehnaz, S. and Lazarovits, G. (2006) Inoculation effects of Pseudomonas putida, Gluconacetobacter azotocaptans, and Azospirillum lipoferum on corn plant growth under greenhouse conditions. Microbial Ecology. 51(3), 326-335.

[5] Sudhakar, P., Chattopadhyay, G., Gangwar, S. and Ghosh, J. (2000) Effect of foliar application of Azotobacter, Azospirillum and Beijerinckia on leaf yield and quality of mulberry (Morus alba). The Journal of Agricultural Science. 134(2), 227-234.

[6] Adesemoye, A., Torbert, H. and Kloepper, J. (2009) Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria allow reduced application rates of chemical fertilizers. Microbial ecolog. 58(4), 921-929.

[7] Karakurt, H., Kotan, R., Aslantas, R., Dadasoglu, F. and Karagöz, K. (2010) Inoculation effects of Pantoea agglomerans strains on growth and chemical composition of plum. Journal of plant nutrition. 33(13), 1998-2009.

[8] Karakurt, H., Kotan, R., Dadasoglu, F., Aslantas, R. and Şahin, F. (2011) Effects of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria on fruit set, pomological and chemical characteristics, color values, and vegetative growth of sour cherry (Prunus cerasus cv. Kütahya). Turkish Journal of Biology. 35(3), 283-291.

[9] Ekinci, M., Turan, M., Yildirim, E., Güneş, A., Kotan, R. and Dursun, A. (2014) Effect of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria on growth, nutrient, organic acid, amino acid and hormone content of cauliflower (Brassica oleracea l. var. botrytis) transplants. Acta Sci Pol Hortorum Cultus. 13(6), 71-85.

[10] Sahin, U., Ekinci, M., Kiziloglu, F.M., Yildirim, E., Turan, M., Kotan, R. and Ors, S. (2015) Ameliorative effects of plant growth promoting bacteria on water-yield relationships, growth, and nutrient uptake of lettuce plants under different irrigation levels. Hortscience. 50(9), 1379-1386.

[11] Samancioglu, A., Yildirim, E., Turan, M., Kotan, R., Sahin, U. and Kul, R. (2016) Amelioration of Drought Stress Adverse Effect and Mediating Biochemical Content of Cabbage Seedlings by Plant Growth Promoting Rhizobacteria. International Journal of Agriculture and Biology. 18(5), 948-956.

[12] Turan, M., Ekinci, M., Yildirim, E., Güneş, A., Karagöz, K., Kotan, R. and Dursun, A. (2014) Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria improved growth, nutrient, and hormone content of cabbage (Brassica oleracea) seedlings. Turkish Journal of Agriculture and Forestry. 38(3), 327-333.

[13] Karagöz, F.P., Dursun, A., Kotan, R., Ekinci, M., Yildirim, E. and Mohammadi, P. (2016) Assessment of the Effects of Some Bacterial Isolates and Hormones on Corm Formation and Some Plant Properties in Saffron (Crocus sativus L.). Tarım Bilimleri Dergisi. 22(4), 500-511.

[14] Dadaşoğlu, E., Öztekin, A., Dadaşoğlu, F., Çakmakçı, R., Kotan, R. and Çomaklı, V. (2017) Enzyme activities and effect of plant growth–promoting rhizobacteria on growth in mountain tea. Romanian Biotechnological Letters. 22(3), 12538-12545.

[15] Kara, A. and Kızıloğlu, S. (2012) Erzurum'da Meraya Dayalı Üretim Yapan Hayvancılık İşletmelerinin Sosyo-Ekonomik Analizi. Turkish Journal of Agricultural Economics. 18(1-2), 69-78.

[16] Erman, M., Kotan, R., Çakmakçı, R., Çığ, F., Karagöz, K. and Sezen, M. (2010) Effect of nitrogen fixing and phosphate-solubilizing Rhizobacteria isolated from Van Lake Basin on the growth and quality properties in wheat and sugar beet. In: Turkey IV Organic Farming Symposium. 28 June-1 July, Erzurum, 325-329.

[17] Kotan, R., Sahin, F. and Ala, A. (2006) Identification and pathogenicity of bacteria isolated from pome fruit trees in the Eastern Anatolia region of Turkey. Journal of Plant Diseases and Protection. 113(1), 8.

[18] Cimmyt, M. (1988) From Agronomic Data to Farmer Recommendations: An Economics Training Manual: CIMMYT.
[19] Dobbelaere, S., Croonenborghs, A., Thys, A., Ptacek, D., Vanderleyden, J., Dutto, P., Labandera-Gonzalez, C., Caballero-Mellado, J., Aguirre, J.F., Kapulnik, Y., Brener, S., Burdman, S., Kadouri, D., Sarig, S. and Okon, Y. (2001) Responses of agronomically important crops to inoculation with Azospirillum. Australian Journal of Plant Physiology. 28, 871-879.
[20] Saubidet, M.I., Fatta, N. and Barneix, A.J. (2002) The effect of inoculation with Azospirillum brasilense on growth and nitrogen utilization by wheat plants. Plant and Soil. 245(2), 215-222.
[21] Wu, S., Cao, Z., Cheung, K. and Wong, M. (2005) Effects of biofertilizer containing N-fixer, P and K solubilizers and AM fungi on maize growth: a greenhouse trial. Geoderma. 125(1-2), 155-166.
[22] Aseri, G., Jain, N., Panwar, J., Rao, A. and Meghwal, P. (2008) Biofertilizers improve plant growth, fruit yield, nutrition, metabolism and rhizosphere enzyme activities of pomegranate (Punica granatum L.) in Indian Thar Desert. Scientia Horticulturae. 117(2), 130-135.
[23] Hernández, M.I. and Chailloux, M. (2004) Las micorrizas arbusculares y las bacterias rizosfericas como alternativa a la nutricion mineral del tomate. Cultivos Tropicales. 25(2).
[24] Riggs, P.J., Chelius, M.K., Iniquez, A.L., Kaeppler, S.M. and Triplett, E.W. (2001) Enhanced maize productivity by inoculation with diazotrophic bacteria. Functional Plant Biology. 28(9), 829-836.
[25] Islam, M.R., Madhaiyan, M., Deka Boruah, H., Yim, W., Lee, G., Saravanan, V., Fu, Q., Hu, H., Sa, T. (2009) Characterization of plant growth-promoting traits of free-living diazotrophicbacteria and their inoculation effects on growth and nitrogen uptake of crop plants. Microbiol Biotechnol. 19, 1213-22.
[26] Rosas, S.B., Avanzini, G., Carlier, E., Pasluosta, C., Pastor, N. and Rpvera, M. (2009) Root colonization and growth promotion of wheat and maize by Pseudomonas aurantiaca SR1. Soil Biology and Biochemistry. 41(9), 1802-1806.
[27] Chanway, C. and Holl, F. (1993) First year field performance of spruce seedlings inoculated with plant growth promoting rhizobacteria. Canadian Journal of Microbiology. 39(11), 1084-1088.
[28] Sharma, S. and Prasad, R. (2003) Yield and P uptake by rice and wheat grown in a sequence as influenced by phosphate fertilization with diammonium phosphate and Mussoorie rock phosphate with or without crop residues and phosphate solubilizing bacteria. The Journal of Agricultural Science. 141(3-4), 359-369.
[29] Swarnalakshmi, K., Prasanna, R., Kumar, A., Pattnaik, S., Chakravarty, K., Shivay, Y.S. and Saxena, A. (2013) Evaluating the influence of novel cyanobacterial biofilmed biofertilizers on soil fertility and plant nutrition in wheat. European journal of soil biology. 55, 107-116.
[30] Majeed, A., Abbasi, M.K., Hameed, S., Imran, A. and Rahim, N. (2015) Isolation and characterization of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria from wheat rhizosphere and their effect on plant growth promotion. Frontiers in microbiology. 6, 198.
[31] Kuan, K.B., Othman, R., Rahim, K.A. and Shamsuddin, Z.H. (2016) Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria inoculation to enhance vegetative growth, nitrogen fixation and nitrogen remobilisation of maize under greenhouse conditions. PloS one. 11(3), e0152478.
[32] Gurdeep, K. and Reddy, M.S. (2015) Effects of phosphate-solubilizing bacteria, rock phosphate and chemical fertilizers on maize-wheat cropping cycle and economics. Pedosphere. 25(3), 428-437.
[33] Jilani, G., Akram, A., Ali, R.M., Hafeez, F.Y., Shamsi, I.H., Chaudhry, A.N. and Chaudhry, A.G. (2007) Enhancing crop growth, nutrients availability, economics and beneficial rhizosphere microflora through organic and biofertilizers. Annals of microbiology. 57(2), 177-184.
[34] Mishra, A., Prasad, K. and Rai, G. (2010) Effect of bio-fertilizer inoculations on growth and yield of dwarf field pea (Pisum sativum L.) in conjunction with different doses of chemical fertilizers. Journal of agronomy. 9(4), 163-168.

**Received:** **16.03.2018**
**Accepted:** **13.06.2018**

**CORRESPONDING AUTHOR**

**Okan Demir**
Atatürk University
Faculty of Agriculture
Department of Agricultural Economics
25240 Erzurum – Turkey

e--mail: okandemir@atauni.edu.tr